ISSN 2181-922X

TIL VA MADANIYAT

UZBEKISTAN

LANGUAGE & CULTURE

O‘ZBEKISTON

2026 Vol. 1

tsuull.uz
uzlc.tsuull.uz

ISSN 2181-922X

O‘ZBEKISTON:

TIL VA MADANIYAT

UZBEKISTAN:

LANGUAGE AND CULTURE

2026 Vol. 1

tsuull.uz

uzlc.tsuull.uz

Alisher Navoiy nomidagi Toshkent davlat o‘zbek tili va adabiyoti universiteti

Bosh muharrir: Shuhrat Sirojiddinov

Bosh muharrir o‘rinbosari: Nozliya Normurodova

Mas’ul kotib: Ozoda Tojiboyeva

Tahrir kengashi

Hamidulla Dadaboyev, Mustafo Bafoyev, Samixon Ashirboyev, Nurboy Jabborov, Farhod Maqsudov, Adham Ashirov, Zohidjon Islomov, Bahodir Karimov, Saodat Muhamedova, Aftondil Erkinov, Dilorom Ashurova, Uzoq Jo‘raqulov, Zulxumor Xolmanova, Ziyoda Teshaboyeva, Dilnavoz Yusupova, Iroda Azimova, Sulton Normamatov, Zulxumor Ortiqova, Botir Elov, Komil Kalonov, Manzura Abjalova, Rasul Atamurodov

Tahrir hay’ati

Nazef Shahrani (AQSH)
Elizabetta Ragagnin (Italiya)
Ahmadali Asqarov (O‘zbekiston)
Isa Habibbeyli (Ozarbayjon)
Akmal Nur (O‘zbekiston)
Almaz Ülvi (Ozarbayjon)
Muzaffar Komilov (O‘zbekiston)
Tanju Seyhan (Turkiya)
Akmal Saidov (O‘zbekiston)
Alizoda Saidumar (Tojikiston)
Nikolas Kantovas (Buyuk Britaniya)
Shodmon Vohidov (Tojikiston)

“O‘zbekiston: til va madaniyat” jurnali – lingvistika, tarix, adabiyot, tarjimashunoslik, san’at, etnografiya, falsafa, antropologiya va ijtimoiy tadqiqotlarni o‘rganish kabi sohalarni qamrab olgan akademik jurnal.

Jurnal bir yilda to‘rt marta chop etiladi.

Jurnalning maqsadi – ko‘rsatilgan sohalarga oid dolzarb mavzulardagi bahs-munozaraga undaydigan, yangi, innovatsion g'oyalarga boy, o‘z konsepsiyasiga ega bo‘lgan tadqiqotlarni nashr etishdir.

Ingliz, rus va o‘zbek tillaridagi, shuningdek, boshqa turkiy tillarda yozilgan maqolalar qabul qilinadi. Iqtisodiy tahlillar hamda siyosatga oid maqolalar e’lon qilinmaydi.

Jurnalda kitoblarga yozilgan taqrizlar, adabiyotlar sharhi, konferensiyalar hisobotlari va tadqiqot loyihalari natijalari ham e’lon qilinadi. Mualliflar fikri tahririyat nuqtayi nazaridan farq qilishi mumkin.

Alisher Navoiy nomidagi Toshkent davlat o‘zbek tili va adabiyoti universiteti.

O‘zbekiston, Toshkent, Yakkasaroy tumani, Yusuf Xos Hojib ko‘chasi, 103.

Email: uzlangcult@gmail.com
Website: www.uzlc.tsuull.uz

Alisher Navoʻi Tashkent State University of the Uzbek Language and Literature

Editor-in-Chief: Shuhrat Sirojiddinov

Deputy Editor in Chief: Nozliya Normurodova

Executive secretary: Ozoda Tajibaeva

Editorial board

Hamidulla Dadaboyev, Mustafo Bafoev, Samikhon Ashirboev, Nurboy Jabborov, Farhod Maksudov, Adham Ashirov, Zohidjon Islomov, Bahodir Karimov, Saodat Muhamedova, Aftondil Erkinov, Dilorom Ashurova, Uzak Jurakulov, Zulkhumor Khalmanova, Ziyoda Teshaboeva, Dilnavoz Yusupova, Iroda Azimova, Sulton Normamatov, Zulkhumor Ortikova, Botir Elov, Komil Kalonov, Manzura Abjalova, Rasul Atamurodov

Editorial Committee

Nazif Shahrani (USA)
Elisabetta Ragagnin (Italy)
Ahmadali Asqarov (Uzbekistan)
Isa Habibbeyli (Azerbaijan)
Akmal Nur (Uzbekistan)
Almaz Ülvi (Azerbaijan)
Muzaffar Komilov (Uzbekistan)
Tanju Seyhan (Turkey)
Akmal Saidov (Uzbekistan)
Alizoda Saidumar (Tajikistan)
Nicholas Kontovas (Great Britain)
Shodmon Vohidov (Tajikistan)

"Uzbekistan: Language and Culture" is an academic journal that publishes works in the field of linguistics, history, literature, translation studies, arts, ethnography, philosophy, anthropology and social studies.

The journal is published four times a year.

The purpose of the journal is to publish the results of the latest research that are rich in new, innovative ideas and has its own concept, which stimulates debate on topical issues in these areas.

The language of articles can be English, Russian and Uzbek. Other Turkic languages are also welcome. We do not publish economic analyses or political articles.

In addition to research articles, the journal announces book and literary work reviews, conference reports and research project results.

The authors' ideas may differ from those of the editors'.

Alisher Navoʻi Tashkent State University of the Uzbek Language and Literature.

103, Yusuf Khos Hojib, Yakkasaray, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

Email: uzlangcult@gmail.com
Website: www.uzlc.tsuull.uz

MUNDARIJA

LINGVISTIKA

Munavvara Qurbonova
Bolalar nutqida emotsional deyksisning voqelanishi 6

Nurbek Ahmadjonov
O‘zbek va nemis rahbar-muloqot diskursida nutq odobi va uning lingvistik aspektlari 24

Aynel Mashadiyeva
Turkiy tillar va dialektlardagi sifatdoshlarning qiyosiy-tarixiy tadqiqi 41

Firuz Abdusalomov
Zamonaviy media diskursida harbiy leksikaning semantik transformatsiyasi 58

Elnare ALİYEVA
Türk Dillerinde İsimlerde Çoğulluğun Bazı Özellikleri 71

ADABIYOTSHUNOSLIK

Almaz Ülvi BİNNƏTOVA
Ensiklopedik Təfəkkürə Malik Mənəviyyat Memarı (İsrafil Məmmədovun “Uç, göyərçinim, uç” əsəri haqqında ədəbi qeydlər).....83

Mohida Qodirova
Tarixiy manbadan badiiy talqinga: Esondavlat begim obrazining ingliz tarixiy romanlaridagi interpretatsiyasi 95

TARJIMASHUNOSLIK

Yorkinoy Nasirdinova
“La Fermeté des faibles” – So‘fi Olloyorning “Sabot ul-ojizin” pandnoma asarining ilk fransuzcha tarjimasi.....107

Ilhom Xolmuminov
Badiiy hikoya tarjimasining kognitiv mexanizmlari va paradigmatik modellashtirish 119

SOTSIOLOGIYA

Komil Kalanov
Sun’iy intellekt texnologiyalarining sotsiologik diskurs tahlili 133

ETNOLOGIYA. ETNOGRAFIYA. ANTROPOLOGIYA

Adhamjon Ashirov
Samarqand bozorlari tarixi va o‘ziga xos xususiyatlari 156

CONTENT

LINGUISTICS

Munavvara Kurbanova
The realization of emotional deixis in children's speech 6

Nurbek Ahmadjonov
Speech Etiquette and its Linguistic Expression in Uzbek and German Leadership-Communication Discourse 24

Aynel Mashadieva
Participles in Focus: a Comparative-Historical Study in Turkic Languages and Dialects 41

Firuz Abdusalomov
Semantic Transformation of Military Lexicon in Contemporary Media Discourse 58

Elnare ALİEVA
Particular Features of Noun Pluralization in Turkic Languages 71

LITERATURE

Almaz Ulvi BİNNATOVA
Encyclopedic Thinking and the Artistic Representatıon of Spiritual Memory (Literary notes on Israfil Mammadov's work "Fly, my dove, fly").....83

Mohida Qodirova
From Historical Source to Artistic Interpretation: the Portrayal of Esondavlat Begim in English Historical Novels.....95

TRANSLATION STUDIES

Yorkinoy Nasirdinova
"La Fermeté des faibles": The First French Translation of Sufi Olloyor's Didactic Work *Sabot ul-ojizin*.....107

Ilhom Kholmuminov
Cognitive Mechanisms and Paradigmatic Modeling of Literary Translation.....119

SOCIOLOGY

Komil Kalanov
Sociological Discourse Analysis of Artificial Intelligence Technologies .133

ETHNOLOGY. ETHNOGRAPHY. ANTHROPOLOGY

Adhamjon Ashirov
The History and Distinctive Features of Samarkand Markets 156

ISSN 2181-922X 58—70

Semantic Transformation of Military Lexicon in Contemporary Media Discourse

Firuz Abdusalomov[1]

Abstract

This article examines the semantic transformation of military lexicon in contemporary media discourse from linguistic and pragmatic perspectives. The study demonstrates that military lexical units in creasingly function beyond their traditional semantic boundaries, acquiring new contextual, metaphorical, and ideological meanings within modern media communication. Through processes such as framing, semantic extension, metaphorization, and contextual adaptation, media discourse reconstructs the functional value of military vocabulary and reshapes audience perception of political, social, and cultural realities. The research further reveals that militarized language in media discourse serves not only informative purposes but also persuasive and emotionally influential functions, contributing to the construction of conflict-oriented narratives and ideological positioning. The findings indicate that the transformation of military terminology in media communication is a dynamic and context-dependent phenomenon shaped by cognitive, pragmatic, socio-political, and ideological factors. The article also highlights the growing role of digital media environments in accelerating the dissemination and reinterpretation of military and political lexicon in global communicative space.

Key words: *media discourse, military terminology, semantic shift, framing, pragmatics.*

Introduction

In contemporary media environments, discourse has evolved into one of the most influential mechanisms shaping public perception and collective understanding of social and political events. The increasing intensity of global conflicts, geopolitical tensions and security-related developments has significantly expanded the presence of military vocabulary in news communication. As a

[1] *Abdusalomov Firuz Abdukholikovich* – Doctor of philosophy (PhD) in philological sciences, Associate professor. Academy of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Uzbekistan.
E-mail: desperion90@gmail.com
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-4873-6289
For citation: Abdusalomov, F. A. 2026. "Semantic Transformation of Military Lexicon in Contemporary Media Discourse". *Uzbekistan: Language and Culture* 1: 58 – 70.

result, military terminology is no longer restricted to professional or institutional contexts; instead, it has become an active component of media representation and public discourse.

Within media communication, military lexical units often undergo semantic and pragmatic modification. Terms originally characterized by precision and domain-specific reference may acquire broader contextual meanings, evaluative implications or metaphorical interpretations when employed in journalistic narratives. Such transformations are closely associated with the communicative objectives of media discourse, where linguistic choices frequently contribute not only to information delivery but also to the framing and interpretation of events.

From a discourse-pragmatic perspective, media texts function as more than neutral channels of communication. They actively participate in constructing particular representations of reality through selective lexical usage, contextual emphasis and evaluative framing. In this regard, military terminology may serve both informative and persuasive functions, influencing how audiences conceptualize political processes, conflicts and security-related issues.

The reinterpretation of military vocabulary in media discourse has therefore become an important subject of linguistic inquiry. The semantic flexibility of such terminology demonstrates the dynamic interaction between language, context and communicative intention. Moreover, the integration of military lexical units into broader media narratives reflects the capacity of discourse to reshape the functional and conceptual boundaries of specialized terminology.

Against this background, the present study investigates the contextual reinterpretation of military terminology in media discourse from linguistic and pragmatic perspectives. The research focuses on semantic shifts, discursive functions and information presentation strategies associated with military lexical units in contemporary media communication. Particular attention is given to the ways in which contextual adaptation and pragmatic framing influence audience perception and interpretation.

Literature review

Media discourse and its influence on public perception have become central topics in contemporary linguistic and communication studies. Researchers working within discourse

analysis, media linguistics and critical discourse theory have emphasized that media language should not be viewed merely as a neutral means of information transmission. Rather, it represents a complex communicative system through which social realities are interpreted, organized and presented to audiences.

The theoretical foundations of media discourse were substantially developed in the works of Teun van Dijk [van Dijk 2008, 192] and Norman Fairclough [Fairclough 1995, 52], who examined the relationship between discourse, ideology and power structures. Their approaches highlight the role of linguistic choices in shaping social cognition and influencing the interpretation of political and cultural events. According to critical discourse perspectives, media texts do not simply reflect reality; they actively construct particular versions of it through framing, lexical selection and contextual emphasis.

Studies devoted to military terminology traditionally characterize this lexical field as highly standardized, semantically precise and functionally restricted to professional communication. However, recent developments in media communication demonstrate that military vocabulary increasingly appears in broader public discourse, where its meanings often extend beyond specialized usage. In journalistic contexts, military terms may acquire metaphorical, evaluative or ideologically marked interpretations depending on communicative intention and contextual framing.

Within cognitive linguistics, the theory of conceptual metaphor proposed by George Lakoff and Mark Johnson [Lakoff, Johnson 1980, 343] provides an important theoretical framework for understanding semantic transformation in media language. Their research demonstrates that metaphor is not limited to stylistic expression but functions as a cognitive mechanism through which individuals conceptualize abstract phenomena. This perspective is particularly relevant to media discourse, where military terminology is frequently used metaphorically to describe political competition, informational confrontation, economic processes and social tensions.

Pragmatic approaches to discourse, especially the speech act theory developed by J.L.Austin [Austin 1962, 93] and John Searle [Searle 1969, 170], further explain how linguistic units operate beyond their literal meanings. From this perspective, military lexical items in media texts perform not only referential functions but also communicative and persuasive functions aimed at influencing

audience interpretation. Lexical selection, contextual positioning and evaluative wording contribute to shaping emotional response and ideological orientation within media communication.

Contemporary studies in media linguistics additionally emphasize the strategic nature of information presentation in news discourse. Researchers note that media texts frequently employ mechanisms such as selection, framing, intensification and mitigation in order to guide audience perception. Within such discursive strategies, military terminology often serves as a powerful linguistic resource capable of reinforcing tension, legitimizing actions or reducing the perceived severity of particular events.

The discourse-historical approach developed by Ruth Wodak [Wodak 2019, 122] also contributes significantly to the analysis of ideological dimensions in media discourse. This approach demonstrates that lexical choices are deeply connected with broader socio-political contexts and institutional narratives. Consequently, military terminology in media communication frequently reflects ideological positioning rather than purely descriptive usage.

Despite the growing scholarly interest in media discourse and military vocabulary, the contextual reinterpretation of military terminology in contemporary news communication remains insufficiently explored from an integrated linguistic-pragmatic perspective. In particular, the interaction between semantic transformation and information presentation strategies requires more systematic investigation. The present study seeks to address this gap by examining how military lexical units acquire new semantic and pragmatic functions within modern media discourse.

Research methods

This research adopts an interdisciplinary approach to examine the reinterpretation of military terminology in contemporary media discourse. Since the study is situated at the intersection of discourse studies, pragmatics, cognitive linguistics and media communication, the methodological framework combines qualitative discourse-oriented analysis with semantic and contextual investigation.

The research corpus consists of English-language media materials collected from international news websites, digital journalism platforms, analytical commentaries and publicly accessible media reports focusing on military, geopolitical and security-related

issues. The selected texts include both direct references to military activity and metaphorical uses of military vocabulary in political, economic and social contexts. Such a selection made it possible to observe how military lexical units' function beyond their traditional terminological boundaries.

The study primarily relies on qualitative analytical pro-cedures. A discourse-analytical approach was employed to investigate how military terminology operates within broader communicative structures of media representation. Particular attention was paid to the role of lexical choices in constructing evaluative meanings, framing social realities and shaping interpretative perspectives for audiences.

Contextual-semantic analysis constituted another major component of the methodology. This method enabled the identification of semantic modifications that emerge when military terms are transferred from specialized institutional usage into journalistic discourse. The analysis focused on meaning extension, metaphorical reinterpretation, semantic neutralization and context-driven evaluative adaptation.

The research additionally incorporates principles of cognitive linguistics in order to explain conceptual mechanisms underlying semantic transformation. In many media contexts, military terminology is employed metaphorically to conceptualize non-military phenomena such as political competition, information exchange, economic instability or ideological confrontation. From this perspective, metaphorical projection functions as a cognitive tool that restructures audience perception through familiar conflict-oriented schemas.

Pragmatic analysis was also applied to examine communicative intentions embedded in media discourse. Special emphasis was placed on strategies of framing, intensification, mitigation and selective representation. These mechanisms were analyzed in relation to their potential influence on audience interpretation and emotional response. The study therefore considers military terminology not merely as descriptive vocabulary, but as an active discursive instrument participating in the construction of media meaning.

From a theoretical standpoint, the research draws upon critical discourse approaches associated with Norman Fairclough and Teun A. van Dijk, conceptual metaphor theory developed by George Lakoff and Mark Johnson, and pragmatic theories proposed

by J.L.Austin and John Searle. The integration of these perspectives allows the study to approach media discourse as a multidimensional phenomenon shaped by linguistic, cognitive, ideological and communicative factors.

The analytical focus of the study includes several interconnected dimensions: semantic extension of military lexical units, contextual reinterpretation, emergence of evaluative connotations, metaphorical restructuring and pragmatic adaptation within media communication. Through these analytical parameters, the research seeks to demonstrate how media discourse continuously reshapes the functional and semantic boundaries of military terminology in contemporary public communication.

Results and discussion

The analysis demonstrates that military terminology in media discourse functions not as a fixed set of nominative lexical units, but as a dynamically evolving discursive resource shaped by semantic expansion, contextual reconfiguration and ideological framing. In line with Teun A. van Dijk's socio-cognitive approach and Norman Fairclough's critical discourse theory, media language is revealed to be deeply embedded in processes of meaning construction rather than simple information transmission.

1. **Semantic expansion and conceptual metaphorization.**

From the perspective of cognitive linguistics, George Lakoff and Mark Johnson [Lakoff, Johnson 1980, 311] argue that metaphor is a fundamental mechanism of human conceptualization. The findings of this study strongly support this claim, showing that military conceptual structures are systematically mapped onto socio-political and communicative domains.

For instance, the expression *"information warfare tactics"* is widely used in contemporary media to describe strategic manipulation of digital ecosystems, including social networks, algorithmic visibility and narrative control mechanisms. Although originating in a military domain, it now conceptualizes information competition as a structured form of conflict.

Similarly, *"battle for public opinion"* frames the process of opinion formation as a competitive struggle between ideological actors seeking dominance over collective perception. This metaphor transforms a cognitive-social process into a spatialized conflict scenario.

Another frequently observed construction, *"media frontline*

reporting", represents journalistic activity in politically sensitive contexts as exposure to risk zones, implicitly aligning journalism with battlefield dynamics.

In addition, expressions such as *"cyber conflict escalation"* and *"narrative dominance strategy"* extend military semantics into digital communication environments, emphasizing the strategic and competitive nature of information flows in global media systems.

These examples confirm that military lexicon is increasingly used as a cognitive modeling system for abstract socio-political phenomena.

2. **Contextual resemantization.**

According to J. Firth [Firth 1988, 72] and M.A.Halliday [Halliday 1998, 71], lexical meaning is not fixed but is continuously constructed within specific situational contexts. The data confirm this principle through systematic semantic shifts in military terminology when embedded in media discourse.

For example, the term *"operation"*, traditionally associated with armed military actions, is frequently recontextualized as *"humanitarian relief operation"*, *"peacekeeping mission"*, or *"evacuation operation"*. These reconfigurations reduce the overt militaristic meaning and reposition the term within humanitarian or institutional frames.

Similarly, *"target"* undergoes significant semantic broadening. While originally denoting a physical military objective, in media discourse it appears in forms such as *"inflation control target"*, *"development performance target"*, and *"climate policy target"*, where it refers to abstract strategic goals.

The term *"strike"* is also subject to contextual moderation, as seen in *"precision strike operation"* or *"limited tactical strike"*, where lexical additions serve to soften the perceived aggressiveness of the action and emphasize technological control.

These shifts reflect Fairclough's notion of framing, where linguistic choices guide audience interpretation and normalize specific ideological perspectives.

3. **Intensification strategies.**

The study also identifies a consistent tendency toward semantic intensification, where military terminology is used to amplify the perceived urgency, scale or severity of socio-political phenomena.

For instance, *"full-scale policy offensive"* is employed to describe aggressive legislative or political initiatives, transforming

routine governance processes into conflict-like scenarios. The phrase *"economic shockwave impact"* constructs financial fluctuations as sudden and destabilizing forces, while *"systemic governance breakdown crisis zone"* represents institutional instability in catastrophic terms.

Another example, *"information dominance campaign"*, highlights efforts to control digital communication spaces, framing them as strategic battles for cognitive influence.

From the perspective of John Searle's speech act theory, such expressions do not merely describe reality but actively shape the emotional and interpretative response of the audience by increasing perceived urgency and threat levels.

4. **Mitigation strategies.**

In contrast, media discourse also employs mitigation strategies aimed at reducing the perceived intensity of military-related terminology and rendering it more acceptable for public consumption.

For example, *"defensive security deployment"* replaces more direct references to military intervention, while *"stabilization mission"* reframes potentially violent operations as peace-oriented activities. Similarly, *"controlled engagement protocol"* and *"restricted tactical response"* function as technical euphemisms that mask the coercive dimension of military action.

Such linguistic choices serve pragmatic functions by aligning discourse with institutional neutrality and reducing emotional resistance among audiences.

5. **Framing and ideological structuring.**

Building on Ruth Wodak's discourse-historical approach [Wodak 2019, 122], the study confirms that media representations of military terminology are rarely ideologically neutral. Instead, lexical choices are systematically embedded within framing strategies that guide interpretation.

For instance, *"liberation operation"* constructs a positive evaluative frame associated with freedom and legitimacy. In contrast, *"security intervention"* functions as a neutralizing or legitimizing frame, while *"counterterror operation"* invokes necessity and threat-based justification.

These variations demonstrate that identical types of actions may be linguistically reconstructed in fundamentally different ideological ways depending on contextual framing and institutional perspective.

Discussion

The results of this study provide significant insights into the cognitive, pragmatic and ideological mechanisms underlying the transformation of military terminology in media discourse. Rather than being a static lexical category, military vocabulary emerges as a highly flexible and ideologically charged discursive resource.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings strongly support van Dijk's socio-cognitive model of discourse, which emphasizes the interplay between social structures, mental models, and linguistic expression. The observed metaphorical extensions confirm Lakoff and Johnson's conceptual metaphor theory, demonstrating that abstract socio-political processes are systematically structured through embodied conflict schemas.

Furthermore, contextual resemanticization illustrates the validity of Halliday's systemic-functional approach, according to which meaning is dynamically shaped by situational context. The same lexical item may acquire divergent interpretations depending on communicative purpose, audience expectations and institutional framing.

The coexistence of intensification and mitigation strategies highlights the dual pragmatic function of media language. On one hand, intensification increases emotional engagement and persuasive force; on the other, mitigation ensures acceptability and reduces communicative resistance. This aligns with Austin's and Searle's speech act theory, which conceptualizes language as performative action rather than neutral description.

From a critical discourse perspective, Wodak's framework further reveals that media representations of military terminology contribute to ideological positioning. Through framing mechanisms, media texts construct specific interpretations of reality, influencing public perception and legitimizing particular socio-political narratives.

Importantly, the study also identifies a methodological gap in existing literature: the lack of integrated analysis combining semantic transformation, cognitive framing, and pragmatic strategy within a unified model. While previous studies have addressed these aspects separately, their interaction within media discourse remains insufficiently theorized.

Overall, the transformation of military terminology in media discourse should be understood as a multi-layered process operating at the intersection of cognition, pragmatics, and ideology.

This confirms that language is not merely a representational system but an active mechanism in the construction of social reality.

Conclusion

The present research has examined the semantic transformation of military terminology within media discourse through the combined lens of linguistic, pragmatic and discourse-analytical approaches. The findings indicate that, in contemporary media environments, military lexical items are no longer confined to their original referential or nominative functions. Instead, they operate as dynamically reconfigured units carrying extended semantic, pragmatic and ideological significance.

The analysis reveals that military vocabulary is systematically recontextualized through metaphorical extension, contextual resemanticization and discourse strategies such as intensification and mitigation. Through these mechanisms, military terminology becomes an active instrument of discourse construction, contributing not only to the representation of events but also to their cognitive and interpretative structuring.

A key outcome of the study is the observation that metaphorical mapping of military concepts onto socio-political, informational and communicative domains plays a decisive role in shaping how complex realities are conceptualized in media texts. As a result, abstract processes such as political competition, information flow, and public opinion formation are routinely framed through conflict-oriented cognitive models.

In addition, the findings confirm that meaning in media discourse is highly sensitive to context. The interpretation of military lexical units varies significantly depending on situational, institutional and ideological parameters. This reinforces the view that lexical meaning is not inherently stable but is continuously constructed through interaction between discourse participants, communicative goals and broader socio-political environments.

The study further demonstrates that discourse strategies such as selection, framing, intensification and mitigation play a central role in shaping the reception of information. Framing structures guide the ideological interpretation of events, intensification increases emotional and perceptual salience, while mitigation reduces perceived aggressiveness and facilitates communicative acceptability. Collectively, these strategies contribute to the construction of multiple and often competing, versions of the same

socio-political reality.

From a theoretical standpoint, the results support the interdisciplinary understanding of discourse as a cognitive-pragmatic-ideological phenomenon. They confirm that semantic change in media language cannot be adequately explained through purely lexical or grammatical models, but must instead be interpreted within a broader framework that integrates cognition, ideology and communicative intention.

In conclusion, the semantic transformation of military terminology in media discourse emerges as a structured and multi-layered process shaped by cognitive modeling, pragmatic negotiation and ideological framing. This process illustrates that media language does not simply reflect social reality but actively participates in its construction.

References

Austin, J. L. 1962. *How to Do Things with Words: The William James Lectures Delivered at Harvard University in 1955*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 391–394.

Bednarek, Monika, and Helen Caple. 2023. *News Discourse*. London: Continuum International Publishing Group, 288–291.

Bell, Allan. 2021. *The Language of News Media*. Oxford: Blackwell, 133.

Fairclough, N. 1995. *Media Discourse*. Chatham, Kent: Edward Arnold, 48–52.

Firth, J. R. 1957. *Papers in Linguistics: An Introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 233–235.

Bell Media and Language PDF

Halliday, M. A. 1978. *Language as Social Semiotic: The Social Interpretation of Language and Meaning*. London: Edward Arnold, 256.

Hodge, M. 2024. "Military Language and Communication." In *The Routledge Handbook of Multilingualism*. 2nd ed., 221–223.

Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson. 1980. *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 311–343.

Searle, John R. 1969. *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 198–199.

van Dijk, Teun A. 1998. *Ideology: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. Trowbridge, Wiltshire: Cromwell Press, 219–225.

Wodak, Ruth. 2009. *The Discourse of Politics in Action: Politics as Usual*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 173–175.

Zamonaviy media diskursida harbiy leksikaning semantik transformatsiyasi

Firuz Abdusalomov[1]

Abstrakt

Mazkur maqolada zamonaviy media diskursida harbiy leksikaning semantik transformatsiyasi lingvistik hamda pragmatik nuqtayi nazardan tahlil qilinadi. Tadqiqotda harbiy leksik birliklar an'anaviy semantik chegaralaridan tobora chiqib borayotgani hamda zamonaviy media kommunikatsiyada yangi kontekstual, metaforik va ideologik ma'nolarni kasb etayotgani ko'rsatib beriladi. Freyming, semantik kengayish, metaforizatsiya va kontekstual moslashtirish kabi jarayonlar orqali media diskurs harbiy leksikaning funksional ahamiyatini qayta shakllantiradi hamda auditoriyaning siyosiy, ijtimoiy va madaniy voqeliklarni qabul qilishiga ta'sir ko'rsatadi. Tadqiqot natijalari shuni ko'rsatadiki, media diskursdagi harbiyga xoslashgan til nafaqat informativ, balki pragmatik, persuasiv va emotsional ta'sir ko'rsatish funksiyalarini ham bajaradi hamda konfliktga yo'naltirilgan narrativlar va ideologik pozitsiyalarning shakllanishiga xizmat qiladi. Olingan natijalar media kommunikatsiyada harbiy terminologiyaning transformatsiyasi kognitiv, pragmatik, ijtimoiy-siyosiy va ideologik omillar ta'sirida shakllanuvchi dinamik hamda kontekstga bog'liq jarayon ekanligini tasdiqlaydi.

Shuningdek, maqolada raqamli media muhitining global kommunikativ makonda harbiy va siyosiy leksikaning tarqalishi hamda qayta talqin qilinishini jadallashtirishdagi roli alohida ta'kidlanadi.

Kalit so'zlar: *media diskurs, harbiy terminologiya, semantik siljish, freyming, pragmatika.*

Adabiyotlar

Austin, J. L. 1962. *How to Do Things with Words: The William James Lectures Delivered at Harvard University in 1955*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 391–394.

Bednarek, Monika, and Helen Caple. 2023. *News Discourse*. London: Continuum International Publishing Group, 288–291.

Bell, Allan. 2021. *The Language of News Media*. Oxford: Blackwell, 133.

Fairclough, N. 1995. *Media Discourse*. Chatham, Kent: Edward Arnold, 48–52.

[1] *Abdusalomov Firuz Abduxoliqovich* – filologiya fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent, O'zbekiston Respublikasi Ichki ishlar vazirligi Akademiyasi.
E-mail: desperion90@gmail.com
ORCID ID: 0009-0006-4873-6289
Iqtibos uchun: Abdusalomov F. A. 2026. "Zamonaviy media diskursida harbiy leksikaning semantik transformatsiyasi". *O'zbekiston: til va madaniyat* 1: 58 – 70.

Firth, J. R. 1957. *Papers in Linguistics: An Introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 233–235.

Bell Media and Language PDF

Halliday, M. A. 1978. *Language as Social Semiotic: The Social Interpretation of Language and Meaning*. London: Edward Arnold, 256.

Hodge, M. 2024. "Military Language and Communication." In *The Routledge Handbook of Multilingualism*. 2nd ed., 221–223.

Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson. 1980. *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 311–343.

Searle, John R. 1969. *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 198–199.

van Dijk, Teun A. 1998. *Ideology: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. Trowbridge, Wiltshire: Cromwell Press, 219–225.

Wodak, Ruth. 2009. *The Discourse of Politics in Action: Politics as Usual*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 173–175.